UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,187	02/13/2001	Atsushi Ogino	58799-038	8810	
McDermott, Wi	7590 05/15/200 ill & Emery	EXAMINER			
600, 13th Street Washington, DO	, N.W.	PHAN, HUY Q			
w asinington, DC	_ 20005-3090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/781,187	OGINO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		HUY Q. PHAN	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. .136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Day be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> /	Anril 2009					
·		is action is non-final.					
3)	<i>'</i> —		rs prosecution as to th	e merits is			
<u>ا</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-25</u> is/are pending in the	application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	—————————————————————————————————————						
·	5)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) $\underline{9}$ is/are objected to.						
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -				

Application/Control Number: 09/781,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed on date: 04/03/2009.
 Claims 1-19 and 21-25 are still pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see REMARKS, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Independent claims 1, 10, 15, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon (US 6,405,047) in view of Watters (US 6,230,018) wherein Moon is used to reject all limitations except deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations; and responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern, notifying said mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern. It is noted that Watters is related with Moon as disclosing the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations. Watters is used to reject deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26); and responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern, notifying said

mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37).

Applicant argued that "Watters, too, fails to disclose the missing limitations and lacks sufficient teaching to alleviate the deficiencies of Moon. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument. Watters specifically discloses each base station having a transmission offset timing generator for maintaining the timing of an offset in the spreading code and each base station starting a signal transmission period with a specific timing offset. When a base station sends a signal which does not begin at the specified timing offset, the base station adjusts the offset timing generator to change the timing offset of the signal to the specific timing offset (see col. 11, lines 21-40). Thus, Watters discloses the claimed limitation "deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations". Watters describes a method for determining the location of mobile station wherein the mobile station receives the signals from a plurality of base stations and uses the TDOA measurements of base station signals (see col. 13, lines 59-66 and fig. 2). Since Watters specifically discloses that the base station periodically transmits the signal (see col. 11, lines 3-16 and col. 1, lines 24-42) which is used by the mobile station for its location determination, one of ordinary skill in the art could reasonably interpret that after the base station adjusts the its signal timing offset to the reference signal (see col. 11, lines 21-41), the base station transmits the altered reference time offset to the mobile station (see col. 11, lines 3-16 and col. 1, lines 24-42) reading on the claimed

limitation "responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern, notifying said mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern".

Based on the claim language, one of ordinary skill in the art can also interpret that after the base station adjusts the its signal timing offset to the reference signal (see col. 11, lines 21-41), the base station maintains its signal timing offset (see col. 11, lines 25-28) reading on the claimed limitation "responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern, notifying said mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern".

Accordingly, Watters discloses the dispute limitations and with all the reasons stated above, the rejection of in dependent claims 1, 10, 15, 19 and 22 is deemed proper and still stands.

It is believed that Moon and Watters disclose all the limitations of the independent claims (see section above) from which claims 2, 3, 11 and 16 depend. Thus, the combination of cited references can be used to establish prima facie obviousness for claims 2, 3, 11 and 16 because the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations as required. See MPEP § 2143.03. Therefore, prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been established.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant argued that "Moon does not measure a location of the mobile terminal or station based on (1) an answer from said base station, and (2) respective receiving timing of the signal pattern from each of said base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station" (see REMARKS page 18). In this case, Watter is used to reject the claimed limitation "determining a location of the mobile terminal or station based on an answer from said base station (fig. 6, see "request" and "response") in the zone in which the mobile terminal or station locates, and respective receiving timing the signal pattern from each of said base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37)". Thus, claim 19 and its dependent claim 21 are unpatentable over Moon and Watters.

In response to the applicant's argument, with regard to the rejection of claims 5, 14 and 23 under 35 USC § 103(a) over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Havinis, it is believed that Moon, Watters and Havinis disclose all the limitations of claims 5, 14 and 23 (see explanations above). Thus, the combination of cited references can be used to establish prima facie obviousness for claims 5, 14 and 23 because the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations as required. See

MPEP § 2143.03. Therefore, prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been established.

In response to the applicant's argument, with regard to the rejection of claims 4, 13 and 18 under 35 USC § 103(a) over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Janhonen, it is believed that Moon and Watters disclose all the limitations of the independent claims 1, 10 and 15 (see explanations above) from which claims 4, 13 and 18 depend, respectively. Thus, the combination of Moon, Watters and Janhonen can be used to establish prima facie obviousness for claims 8, 12 and 17 because the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations as required. See MPEP § 2143.03. Therefore, prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been established.

In response to the applicant's argument, with regard to the rejection of claims 8, 12 and 17 under 35 USC § 103(a) over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Patel, it is believed that Moon and Watters disclose all the limitations of the independent claims 1, 10 and 15 (see explanations above) from which claims 8, 12 and 17 depend, respectively. Thus, the combination of Moon, Watters and Patel can be used to establish prima facie obviousness for claims 8, 12 and 17 because the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations as required. See MPEP § 2143.03. Therefore, prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been established.

In response to the applicant's argument, with regard to the rejection of claims 24 and 25 under 35 USC § 103(a) over Moon in view of Watters, it is believed that Moon and Watters disclose all the limitations of the independent claim 22 (see explanations above) from which claims 22 and 25 depend. Thus, the combination of Moon, Watters and Keranen can be used to establish prima facie obviousness for claims 24 and 25 because the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations as required. See MPEP § 2143.03. Therefore, prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been established.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I) Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon (US 6,405,047; previously cited) in view of Watters (US 6,230,018; previously cited).

Regarding claim 1, Moon discloses a method of furnishing a location service (fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 1-6) comprising:

transmitting a specific signal pattern at given intervals ("at different time points" col. 3, lines 14-32 and/or "periodically" col. 4, lines 28-37) from at least three base

stations (fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 1-6), wherein a location of a mobile terminal or station that receives said signal pattern is located by using positional information about said base stations (fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 1-6), sending timing or information on a phase shift from reference time of each said signal pattern from said base stations (figs. 2-3 and col. 4, lines 28-50), and signal pattern receiving time information (fig. 4, col. 4, lines 51-67).

But, Moon does not particularly disclose deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations; and responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern, notifying said mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern. However in analogous art, Watters teaches deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26); and responsive to the change of the sending timing of the signal pattern ("synchronization" see col. 11, lines 27-37 and col. 13, lines 20-26), notifying said mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset or information on a phase shift from the reference time of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37). Since, Moon and Watters are related to the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations; therefore, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon as taught by Watters for purpose of increasing the accuracy in location determination of the mobile terminal as of the base station able to determine the offset timing (or synchronize error) and make the adjustment in order to begin a signal transmission period at the same instant with another base stations (see abstract, col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or col. 13, lines 20-26).

Regarding claim 2, Watters further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 1, wherein in response to a request issued from said mobile terminal or station to at least one of said base stations (col. 5, lines 37-54), said altered reference time offset or updated sending timing of said signal pattern is sent to said mobile terminal or station (fig. 6, see "request" and "response").

Regarding claim 3, Moon further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 2, wherein said altered reference time offset or updated sending timing of said signal pattern is sent to said mobile terminal or station (fig. 6, see "request" and "response") after receiving information identifying said mobile terminal or station together with said request, and verifying the identification of said mobile terminal or station ("mobile station's PN sequence"; see col. 5, lines 43-54).

Regarding claim 6, Watters further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 1, wherein the sending timing of said signal pattern from at

least one of said base stations is changed regularly ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37).

Regarding claim 7, Watters further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 1, wherein said base stations include at least three base stations (col. 9, lines 48-53) each of the base stations broadcasts positional information about a position of the broadcasting base station and neighboring base stations over a broadcast channel or control channel in response to a request received from the mobile terminal or station (col. 12, lines 18-37).

Regarding claim 10, Moon further discloses a method of furnishing a location service (fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 1-6) wherein a location of a mobile terminal or station is determined using sending timing of signal patterns transmitted from a plurality of base stations (figs. 2-3 and col. 4, lines 28-50), and receiving timing of said signal patterns at the mobile terminal or station ("at different time points" col. 3, lines 14-32 and/or "periodically" col. 4, lines 28-37).

But, Moon does not particularly disclose the method comprising the steps of deliberately making a change to the sending timing of a specific signal pattern of radio waves transmitted at given intervals from a base station regularly; and notifying the mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern. However in analogous art, Watters teaches deliberately making a change to the sending timing of a specific signal pattern

Application/Control Number: 09/781,187

Art Unit: 2617

of radio waves transmitted at given intervals from a base station regularly ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26); and notifying the mobile terminal or station of an altered reference time offset of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37). Since, Moon and Watters are related to the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon as taught by Watters for purpose of increasing the accuracy in location determination of the mobile terminal as of the base station able to determine the offset timing (or synchronize error) and make the adjustment in order to begin a signal transmission period at the same instant with another base stations (see abstract, col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or col. 13, lines 20-26).

Page 11

Regarding claim 11, Moon further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 10, wherein the identification of said mobile terminal or station is verified ("mobile station's PN sequence"; see col. 5, lines 43-54) and said mobile terminal or station is notified of the altered reference time offset of said sending timing or updated sending timing of said signal pattern (fig. 6, see "request" and "response").

Regarding claim 15, Moon discloses a method of furnishing a location service (abstract) comprising:

sending timing of a specific signal pattern of radio waves transmitted at given intervals from a base station regularly or at irregular intervals (figs. 2-3, col. 4, lines 27-67); calculating the location of a mobile terminal or station, based on the data on receiving timing of said signal pattern received at said mobile terminal or station (fig. 4, col. 4, lines 50-67 and col. 10, lines 1-9); and notifying said mobile terminal or station of a result of calculating step (col. 10, lines 1-9).

But, Moon does not particularly disclose deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations. However in analogous art, Watters teaches deliberately making a change to the sending timing of said signal pattern from at least one of said base stations ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26). Since, Moon and Watters are related to the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon as taught by Watters for purpose of increasing the accuracy in location determination of the mobile terminal as of the base station able to determine the offset timing (or synchronize error) and make the adjustment in order to begin a signal transmission period at the same instant with another base stations (see abstract, col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or col. 13, lines 20-26).

Regarding claim 16, Moon further discloses the method of furnishing a location service according to claim 15, wherein the identification of said mobile terminal or station is verified ("mobile station's PN sequence"; see col. 5, lines 43-54).

Regarding claim 19, Moon further discloses a method for locating a mobile terminal or station (fig. 4 and its description) comprising the steps of: sending an ID of the mobile terminal or station ("mobile station's PN sequence"; see col. 5, lines 43-54). But, Moon does not particularly disclose a request for information on the sending timing of a specific signal pattern transmitted at given intervals from base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station to a base station in a zone in which the mobile terminal or station locates; and determining a location of the mobile terminal or station based on an answer from said base station in the zone in which the mobile terminal or station locates, and respective receiving timing the signal pattern from each of said base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station. However in analogous art, Watters teaches a request (fig. 6, see "request" and "response") for information on the sending timing of a specific signal pattern transmitted at given intervals from base stations ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26) in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station to a base station in a zone in which the mobile terminal or station locates (col. 7, lines 18-44); and determining a location of the mobile terminal or station based on an answer from said base station (fig. 6, see "request" and "response") in the zone in which the mobile terminal or station

locates, and respective receiving timing the signal pattern from each of said base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37). Since, Moon and Watters are related to the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon as taught by Watters for purpose of increasing the accuracy in location determination of the mobile terminal as of the base station able to determine the offset timing (or synchronize error) and make the adjustment in order to begin a signal transmission period at the same instant with another base stations (see abstract, col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or col. 13, lines 20-26).

Regarding claim 21, Moon further discloses the method of location claim 19, wherein said mobile terminal or station receives information related to positions of the base stations in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station over a broadcast channel or control channel from the base station in the zone in which the mobile terminal or station locates ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37).

Regarding claim 22, Moon further discloses a location system comprising at least three base stations and one mobile terminal or station (fig. 4 and its description), wherein:

said base stations transmit a specific signal pattern at given intervals ("at different time points" col. 3, lines 14-32 and/or "periodically" col. 4, lines 28-37).

But, Moon does not particularly disclose at least one of said base stations deliberately changes the sending timing of said signal pattern; and said mobile terminal or station determines a location of the mobile terminal or station based on an altered reference time offset associated with the changed sending timing of said signal pattern or updated sending timing of said signal pattern, positional information about said base stations, and information on receiving timing of each said signal pattern from said base stations. However in analogous art, Watters teaches at least one of said base stations deliberately changes the sending timing of said signal pattern ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26); and said mobile terminal or station determines a location of the mobile terminal or station based on an altered reference time offset associated with the changed sending timing of said signal pattern or updated sending timing of said signal pattern, positional information about said base stations (col. 7, lines 18-44), and information on receiving timing of each said signal pattern from said base stations ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37). Since, Moon and Watters are related to the method of location determination for the mobile terminal and/or specifically they both are concerned about the mobile terminal receiving the location information signals from the base stations; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon as taught by Watters for purpose of increasing the accuracy in location determination of the mobile terminal as of the base station able to

Application/Control Number: 09/781,187 Page 16

Art Unit: 2617

determine the offset timing (or synchronize error) and make the adjustment in order to begin a signal transmission period at the same instant with another base stations (see abstract, col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or col. 13, lines 20-26).

II) Claims 4, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Janhonen (US-6,023,618; previously cited).

Regarding claims 4, 13 and 18, Moon and Watters disclose all the limitations of claims 2, 11 and 16, respectively, except wherein the charging data for said mobile terminal or station is updated when the identification of said mobile terminal or station is verified. However in analogous art, Janhonen teaches in figure 1, wherein the charging data for said mobile terminal or station is updated (col. 1, line 66-co1.2, line 49) when the identification of said mobile terminal or station is verified (col. 1, lines 50-65). Since, Moon, Watters and Janhonen are related to the method for determining the location of the mobile station; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon and Watters as taught by Janhonen for purpose of increasing significantly the accuracy of billing in the wireless communication service.

III) Claims 5, 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Havinis (US-6,671,377; previously cited).

Regarding claims 5, 14 and 23, Watters further discloses a location system comprising at least three base stations and one mobile terminal or station (col. 7, lines 18-27), wherein: said base stations transmit a specific signal pattern at given intervals (fig. 6, see "request" and "response"); at least one of said base stations deliberately changes the sending timing of said signal pattern ("adjust the offset timing" see col. 11, lines 22-41 and/or "adjusted" see col. 13, lines 20-26); said base stations send timing of said signal pattern transmitted from the base stations over at least one broadcast channel or control channel ("broadcast by the base station" see col. 11, lines 19-37); and said mobile terminal or station receives the sending timing of said signal pattern transmitted from base stations located in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station (fig. 6, see "request" and "response"), and determines a location of the mobile terminal or station based on the information (col. 7, lines 18-27), positional information about said base stations (col. 7, lines 28-44), and information related to receiving timing of each said signal pattern from said base stations (fig. 6, see "request" and "response"). But, Moon and Watters lack to especially recite the base stations broadcast encrypted information on sending timing of said signal pattern transmitted from the base stations over at least one broadcast channel or control channel; and said mobile terminal or station decrypts the encrypted information on sending timing of said signal pattern transmitted from base stations located in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station, by using a decrypting key, and determines a location of the mobile terminal or station based on the decrypted information. However in analogous art, Havinis teaches the base stations broadcast encrypted information on sending timing of said signal pattern

transmitted from the base stations over at least one broadcast channel or control channel; and said mobile terminal or station decrypts the encrypted information on sending timing of said signal pattern transmitted from base stations located in the vicinity of the mobile terminal or station, by using a decrypting key, and determines a location of the mobile terminal or station based on the decrypted information (col. 5, line 45-co1.6, line 27; also see fig. 4A and its description). Since, Moon, Watters and Havinis are related to the method for determining the location of the mobile station; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon and Watters as taught by Havinis for purpose of increasing significantly the security of the wireless communication system in order to prevent the unauthorized users.

IV) Claims 8, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Watters and further in view of Patel (US 7,043,225; previously cited).

Regarding claims 8, 12 and 17, Moon further discloses, wherein said mobile terminal or station is notified of said altered reference time offset or updated sending timing of said signal pattern (fig. 6, see "request" and "response"). But, Moon and Watters do not particularly show signal pattern on one of different precision levels according to an agreement between the owner of the mobile terminal or station and the administrator of said base stations. However in analogous art, Patel teaches signal pattern on one of different service levels according to an agreement between the owner

of the mobile terminal or station and the administrator of said base stations (fig. 2 and col. 6, lines 2-41). Since, Moon, Watters and Patel are related to the wireless communication service; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon and Watters as taught by Patel for purpose of providing different service levels to the users such making the wireless communication service more affordable, since the user can accept the poor service with the cheap price.

V) Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Watters in view of Havinis and further in view of Keranen (US-6,681,099; previously cited).

Regarding claim 24, Moon and Watters disclose the location system according to claim 22, except a server for storing the information on sending timing of each signal pattern transmitted from said base stations. However in analogous art, Keranen teaches in figure 1, a server (server 15) for storing the information on sending timing of each signal pattern transmitted from said base stations (col. 3, line 45-col. 4, line 67; also see cols. 6-7). Since, Moon, Watters and Keranen are related to the method for determining the location of the mobile station; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Moon and Watters as taught by Keranen for purpose of improving advantageously the quality and reliability of the wireless communication service in providing the mobile station's location information.

Regarding claim 25, Moon, Watters and Keranen disclose the location system according to claim 24. Keranen further discloses wherein said server instructs said base stations to change the sending timing of signal pattern (col. 3, line 45-col. 4, line 67; also see cols. 6-7).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowance with the same reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed on 08/21/2004 (page 16).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Yen discloses "These mobile units may each include a mobile modulation control device that uses a phase adjustment value received from the base station to receive calls from the base station and to transmit calls to the base station" (see specification).
- b) Hall discloses "The method further includes determining a timing adjustment calculation by the mobile station based on the first and second time offset by the mobile

station, and then transmitting the timing adjustment calculation to the second base station transceiver (see specification).

c) Kondo discloses that "obtaining a radio frame phase control value on the basis of a radio frame phase difference measured by the adjustment station and the time alignment value measured by the reference station, and adjusting a phase of the radio frame synchronization signal of the adjustment station in accordance with the obtained radio frame phase control value to match the phase with a phase of a radio frame synchronization signal in the reference station" (see specification).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,187 Page 22

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Q Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-7924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-7:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Huy Q Phan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Date: 05/15/2009